

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT.OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ALBERT D. BAKER 09/272,958 03/19/1999 6333 **EXAMINER** 10/08/2003 YAO, KWANG BIN **RYAN & MASON** 90 FOREST AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER LOCUST VALLEY, NY 11560

2667 DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/272,958	BAKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kwang B. Yao	2667	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 September 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	• •	· ·	t forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· / 	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,9-11,14 and 20-22</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-8,12,13,15-19 and 23-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		-	
10. Other:		KWANG BIN YA PRIMARY EXAMI	NER
		1- 20	//

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments filed on 8/28/03 are not persuasive and the cited prior art can still be applied to the rejected claims.